#### **FACT SHEET**

as required by LAC 33:IX.3109 for major LPDES facilities, for draft Louisiana Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Permit No. <u>LA0020559</u>; AI 19306; <u>PER20090001</u> to discharge to waters of the State of Louisiana as per LAC 33:IX.2311.

The permitting authority for the Louisiana Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (LPDES) is:

Louisiana Department of Environmental Quality

Office of Environmental Services

P. O. Box 4313

Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70821-4313

I. THE APPLICANT IS:

Town of Rayville

Rayville Wastewater Treatment Facility

P.O. Box 878 Rayville, LA 71269

II. PREPARED BY:

Rachel Davis

**DATE PREPARED:** 

May 6, 2009

III. PERMIT ACTION:

reissue LPDES permit LA0020559, AI 19306, PER20090001

LPDES application received: January 5, 2009

EPA has retained enforcement authority

LWDPS permit issued: January 1, 2004 LWDPS permit expired: December 31, 2008

#### IV. FACILITY INFORMATION:

- A. The application is for the discharge of treated sanitary wastewater from a public owned treatment works serving the Town of Rayville.
- B. The permit application does not indicate the receipt of industrial wastewater.
- C. The facility is located 0.53 miles south of intersection of U.S. 80 and Gin Road in Rayville, Richland Parish.
- D. The treatment facility consists of a 32.5 acre oxidation pond; 3-acre artifical marsh; 4 acre rock-reed filters, chlorine contact chamber, and a post aeration chamber.

#### E. Outfall 001

Discharge Location:

Latitude 32° 28' 21" North

Longitude 91° 48' 23" West

Description:

treated sanitary wastewater

Fact Sheet

LA0020559; A119306; PER20090001

Page 2

Designed Capacity:

1.496 MGD

Type of Flow Measurement which the facility is currently using:

Parschall Flume and Continuous Recorder

#### V. <u>RECEIVING WATERS:</u>

The discharge is into an unnamed drainage ditch, thence into the Boeuf River in segment 080901 of the Ouachita River Basin. This segment is listed on the 303(d) list of impaired waterbodies.

The **critical low flow** (7Q10), for the purpose of limit calculations, is 3.51 cfs based on a report from Todd Franklin dated January 23, 2009.

The hardness value is 92.9 mg/l and the fifteenth percentile value for TSS is 8 mg/l based on a report from Todd Franklin dated January 23, 2009.

The designated uses and degree of support for Segment 080901 of the Ouachita River Basin are as indicated in the table below<sup>1/2</sup>:

Degree of Sur	port of Each Use	9				-
Primary Contact Recreation	Secondary Contact Recreation	Propagation of Fish & Wildlife	Outstanding Natural Resource Water	Drinking Water Supply	Shell fish Propagation	Agriculture
Full	Full	Not Supported	. N/A	N/A	N/A <sub>.</sub>	N/A

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1/</sup> The designated uses and degree of support for Segment 080901of the Ouachita River Basin are as indicated in LAC 33:IX.1123.C.3, Table (3) and the 2006 Water Quality Management Plan, Water Quality Inventory Integrated Report, Appendix A, respectively.

#### VI. <u>ENDANGERED SPECIES</u>:

The receiving waterbody, Subsegment 080901 of the Ouachita River Basin, is not listed in Section II.2 of the Implementation Strategy as requiring consultation with the U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service (FWS). This strategy was submitted with a letter dated November 24, 2008 from Rieck (FWS) to Nolan (LDEQ). Therefore, in accordance with the Memorandum of Understanding between the LDEQ and the FWS, no further informal (Section 7, Endangered Species Act) consultation is required. It was determined that the issuance of the LPDES permit is not likely to have an adverse effect on any endangered or candidate species or the critical habitat. The effluent limitations established in the permit ensure protection of aquatic life and maintenance of the receiving water as aquatic habitat.

Fact Sheet

LA0020559; AI19306; PER20090001

Page 3

#### VII. HISTORIC SITES:

The discharge is from an existing facility location, which does not include an expansion beyond the existing perimeter. Therefore, there should be no potential effect to sites or properties on or eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places, and in accordance with the 'Memorandum of Understanding for the Protection of Historic Properties in Louisiana Regarding LPDES Permits' no consultation with the Louisiana State Historic Preservation Officer is required.

#### VIII. PUBLIC NOTICE:

Upon publication of the public notice, a public comment period shall begin on the date of publication and last for at least 30 days thereafter. During this period, any interested persons may submit written comments on the draft permit and may request a public hearing to clarify issues involved in the permit decision at this Office's address on the first page of the statement of basis. A request for a public hearing shall be in writing and shall state the nature of the issues proposed to be raised in the hearing.

For additional information, contact:

Ms. Rachel Davis
Permits Division
Department of Environmental Quality
Office of Environmental Services
P. O. Box 4313
Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70821-4313

#### IX. PROPOSED PERMIT LIMITS:

Subsegment 080901, Boeuf River—Arkansas State Line to Ouachita River, is listed on LDEQ's Final 2006 303(d) List as impaired for mercury. Although TMDLs for the Ouachita River Basin were due to be completed by 2001, a TMDL has not yet been developed for mercury for this waterbody. A TMDL will be scheduled following completion of the EPA Consent Decree TMDL Schedule. A reopener clause will be established in the permit to allow for the requirement of more stringent effluent limitations and requirements as imposed by a future TMDL.

#### <u>Mercury</u>

Based on the Water Quality Screen a limitation for mercury will be required for this facility.

Subsegment 080901 was previously listed as impaired for phosphorus, nitrogen, organic enrichment/low DO, suspended solids/turbidity/ siltation, Carbofuran, DDT, Dioxin, and Toxaphene for which the below TMDL's have been developed. The Department of Environmental Quality reserves the right to impose more stringent discharge limitations and/or additional restrictions in the future to maintain the water quality integrity and the designated uses of the receiving water bodies based upon additional TMDLs and/or water quality studies. The DEQ also reserves the right to modify or revoke and reissue this permit based upon any changes to established TMDLs

Fact Sheet <u>LA0020559</u>; Al<u>19306</u>; <u>PER20090001</u> Page 4

for this discharge, or to accommodate for pollutant trading provisions in approved TMDL watersheds as necessary to achieve compliance with water quality standards.

The following TMDLs have been established for subsegment 080901:

<u>Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) for TSS, Turbidity, and Siltation for 13 Subsequents in the Ouachita River Basin</u>

As per the TMDL, "Point sources do not represent a significant source of TSS as defined in this TMDL. Because an enforceable mechanism is in place to protect from discharges of organic suspended solids, no TMDL is required for these materials." Therefore, TSS will be permitted according to current state water quality standards.

Boeuf River Watershed TMDL for Biochemical Oxygen-Demanding Substances and Nutrients

The Boeuf River, Subsegment 080901, was on the court-ordered 303(d) list; was part of the 1999 ambient sampling monitoring program and was listed in the 2000 305(b) report. The subsegment was found to be "not supporting" its designated use of Fish and Wildlife Propagation. It was "fully supporting" all other uses. Boeuf River was subsequently scheduled for TMDL development with other listed waters in the Ouachita River Basin. The suspected cause of impairment was organic enrichment/low DO, and the suspected sources were agriculture and hydromodification. A review of the dischargers showed that industrial point sources and all but one municipal point source were not significant sources of BOD loading and were unlikely to impact the DO in the Boeuf River. Based on the size and nature of the facility, the Department believes that the current state water quality standards for BOD will adequately protect the receiving waterbody from further impairment from organic enrichment/low DO and nutrients.

LDEQ has not established numeric water quality standards for phosphorous. The narrative criterion for nutrients reads, "The naturally occurring range of nitrogen-phosphorus ratios shall be maintained." LDEQ has determined that the ratio is being maintained for this subsegment, and therefore, no requirements for phosphorus will be placed in this permit.

Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) for Selected Pesticides in the Quachita River Basin

Per the TMDL, "There are no known point sources for a Carbofuran, DDT, Methyl Parathion or Toxaphene in Big Creek, Boeuf River, Joe's Bayou, Macon Bayou or the Tensas River watersheds; therefore, the WLA will be set to zero." Since this facility discharges sanitary wastewater and there is little possibility that the discharges will contain pesticides, no limitations for pesticides will be required of this facility.

#### Final Effluent Limits:

#### **OUTFALL 001**

Final limits shall become effective on the effective date of the permit and expire on the expiration date of the permit.

Fact Sheet LA0020559; AI19306; PER20090001

Effluent Characteristic	Monthly Avg (lbs./day)	Monthly Avg.	Weekly Avg	. Basis
CBOD₅ May-October November-April	62 125	5 mg/l 10 mg/l	8 mg/l 15 mg/l	Based on the <u>Boeuf River</u> <u>Watershed TMDL for</u> <u>Biochemical Oxygen-</u> <u>Demanding Substances</u> and Nutrients
TSS	187	15 mg/l	23 mg/l	Since there is no numeric water quality criterion for TSS, and in accordance with the current Water Quality Management Plan, the TSS effluent limitations shall be based on a case-by-case evaluation of the treatment technology being utilized at a facility. Therefore, a Technology Based Limit has been established through Best Professional Judgement for the type of treatment technology utilized at this facility.
Ammonia-Nitrogen	Report	5 mg/l	10 mg/l	Based on the <u>Boeuf River</u> Watershed TMDL for Biochemical Oxygen- Demanding Substances and Nutrients

Effluent Characteristic	Monthly Avg (lbs:/day) (5	Daily Maximum (lbs/day)	- Basis
Mercury*	0.0008	0.0012	Water Quality Screen indicated a need for a WQBL. See Appendix B-1 for additional information.

<sup>\*</sup>Please note that the mercury limitation is more stringent than the previous permit due to the conversion factor for mercury from the Office of Water Policy and Technical Guidance on Interpretation and Implementation of Aquatic Life Metals Criteria changing from 2.04 to 1.74.

Fact Sheet

LA0020559; AI19306; PER20090001

Page 6

#### Other Effluent Limitations:

#### 1) Fecal Coliform

The discharge from this facility is into a water body which has a designated use of Primary Contact Recreation. According to LAC 33:IX.1113.C.5, the fecal coliform standards for this water body are 200/100 ml and 400/100 ml. Therefore, the limits of 200/100 ml (Monthly Average) and 400/100 ml (Weekly Average) are proposed as Fecal Coliform limits in the permit. These limits are being proposed through Best Professional Judgement in order to ensure that the water body standards are not exceeded, and due to the fact that existing facilities have demonstrated an ability to comply with these limitations using present available technology.

#### 2) pH

According to LAC 33:IX.3705.A.1., POTW's must treat to at least secondary levels. Therefore, in accordance with LAC 33:IX.5905.C, the pH shall not be less than 6.0 standard units nor greater than 9.0 standard units at any time.

#### 3) Solids and Foam

There shall be no discharge of floating solids or visible foam in other than trace amounts in accordance with LAC 33:1X.1113.B.7.

#### 4) Total Residual Chlorine

If chlorination is used to achieve the limitations for Fecal Coliform Bacteria, the effluent shall contain NO MEASURBALE Total Residual Chlorine (TRC) after disinfection and prior to disposal. The calculated WQBL for TRC is 0.009 mg/l. However, given the current constraints pertaining to chlorine analytical methods, No MEASURABLE will be defined as less than 0.1 mg/l of chlorine. Limits set in accordance with the Water Quality Screen (see Appendix B-1) and the previous LPDES permit.

#### 5) Toxicity Characteristics

In accordance with EPA's Region 6 Post-Third Round Toxics Strategy, permits issued to treatment works treating domestic wastewater with a flow (design or expected) greater than or equal to 1 MGD shall require biomonitoring at some frequency for the life of the permit or where available data show reasonable potential to cause lethality, the permit shall require a whole effluent toxicity (WET) limit (*Permitting Guidance Document for Implementing Louisiana Surface Water Quality Standards*, April 16, 2008, VERSION 6).

Whole effluent biomonitoring is the most direct measure of potential toxicity which incorporates the effects of synergism of the effluent components and receiving stream water quality characteristics. Biomonitoring of the effluent is, therefore, required as a condition of this permit to assess potential toxicity. LAC 33:IX.1121.B.3. provides for the use of biomonitoring to monitor the effluent for protection of State waters. The biomonitoring procedures stipulated as a condition of this permit are as follows:

Fact Sheet <u>LA0020559</u>; AI<u>19306</u>; <u>PER20090001</u> Page 7

The permittee shall submit the results of any biomonitoring testings performed in accordance with the LPDES Permit No. LA0020559, **Biomonitoring Section** for the organisms indicated below.

#### TOXICITY TESTS

FREQUENCY

Chronic static renewal 7-day survival & reproduction test using <u>Ceriodaphnia dubia</u> (Method 1002.0)

1/quarter1

Chronic static renewal 7-day survival & growth test using fathead minnow (Pimephales promelas) (Method 1000.0)

1/quarter1

<sup>1</sup> Since a WET limit shall be incorporated into this permit, quarterly testing is required for the first five years following the effective date of the WET limit in the new permit. Following successful completion of this period with no demonstrated lethal or sub-lethal effects, a reduction may be appropriate.

<u>Dilution Series</u> - The permit requires five (5) dilutions in addition to the control (0% effluent) to be used in the toxicity tests. These additional concentrations shall be 17%, 22%, 30%, 40%, and 53%. The low-flow effluent concentration (Biomonitoring dilution) and WET limit is defined as 40% effluent. The critical dilution is calculated in Appendix B-1 of this fact sheet. Results of all dilutions shall be documented in a full report according to the test method publication mentioned in the **Biomonitoring Section** under Whole Effluent Toxicity. This full report shall be submitted to the Office of Environmental Compliance as contained in the Reporting Paragraph located in the **Biomonitoring Section** of the permit.

The permit may be reopened to require effluent limits, additional testing, and/or

other appropriate actions to address toxicity if biomonitoring data show actual or potential ambient toxicity to be the result of the permittee's discharge to the receiving stream or water body. Modification or revocation of the permit is subject to the provisions of LAC 33:1X.2383. Accelerated or intensified toxicity testing may be required in accordance with Section 308 of the Clean Water Act

#### X. PREVIOUS PERMITS:

LPDES Permit No. LA0020559:

Issued: January 1, 2004 Expired: December 31, 2008

Effluent Characteristic	Discharge Limitations							
	Monitoring Rec	uirements		<u></u>				
	Monthly Avg.	Weekly Avg.	<u>Measurement</u>	<u>Sample</u>				
	•		Frequency	<u>Type</u>				
Flow	Report	Report	Continuous	Recorder				
CBOD₅								
May-October	5 mg/l	8 mg/l	2/week	6-Hour Comp				
November- April	10 mg/l	15 mg/l	2/week	6-Hour Comp				
TSS	15 mg/l	23 mg/l	2/week	6-Hour Comp				
Ammonia-Nitrogen	5 mg/l	10 mg/l	2/week	6-Hour Comp				
Ammonia-Nitrogen	5 mg/l		2/week					

Fact Sheet

LA0020559; AI19306; PER20090001

Page 8

TRC NO MEASURABLE 2/week Grab Fecal Coliform Colonies 200 400 2/week Grab ρН Range Between 6.0 -9.0 2/week Grab Mercury 0.002 lbs/day 0.005 lbs/day 1/month 24-hour Comp

The permit contains pretreatment language.

The permit contains biomonitoring

The permit contains pollution prevention language.

#### XI. <u>ENFORCEMENT AND SURVEILLANCE ACTIONS:</u>

#### A) Inspections

A review of the files indicates the following inspections were performed during the period beginning **November 2006** and ending **November 2008** for this facility.

Date - February 22, 2007

Inspector - USEPA

Findings and/or Violations -

- 1. One overflow noted for 2006
- 2. One cell of the marsh unit had rainwater in it but it was not being utilized as part of the treatment method
- 3. About 20% of the rock filter cells were over grown but the other 80% had been cleaned out.
- Sewage was flowing onto both the rock filter cells from the manhole on the eastside but water should be flowing under ground

Date - March 19, 2008

Inspector – Madelon Carter Findings and/or Violations –

- 1. No DMRs for 3/07, 9/07, 10/07, 11/07, 12/07, 1/08, and 2/08
- 2. No Biomonitoring for 07-09/07 and 10-12/07
- 3. No Priorty Pollutant DMR present
- 4. No permit application present
- 5. All treatment units not being used
- 6. Excessive vegetation in rock/reed pond
- 7. Three aerators not being utilized due to power failure
- 8. The Flow check was greater than 10% whereas the secondary device needs to be calibrated
- 9. No notice was given in the change of treatment system
- 10. Many excursions were noted in the DMRs

Date - May 28, 2008

Inspector - John Posey

Findings and/or Violations -

- On 5/19/08 an unknown amount of sewage was overflowed from the marsh unit
- The cause was due to the failure of the marsh pump. The problem was fixed on 5/20/08

Fact Sheet

LA0020559; AI19306; PER20090001

Page 9

#### B) Compliance and/or Administrative Orders

A review of the files indicates the following most recent enforcement actions administered against this facility:

#### **EPA Issuance:**

Docket # - CWA-06-2007-1720

Date Issued - November 8, 2006

Findings of Fact:

- 1. Permittee violated the permit limits
- 2. Did not report non-compliances
- 3. Had not operated and maintained the facility
- 4. Flow measurement device had not been calibrated and was functioning improperly
- Failed to notify the department about an unauthorized discharge
- 6. Violated Flow permit level
- 7. Failed to notify state about physical alteration to the plant
- 8. Failed to submit DMRs

#### Order:

- 1. Take action to correct all these violations
- 2. Submit a written report in 30 days on how they were going to fix the violations
- 3. Arrange a meeting with EPA to discuss the violations

#### C) DMR Review

A review of the discharge monitoring reports for the period beginning **November 2006** through **November 2008** has revealed the following violations:

Period of Excursion	Parameter	Outfall	Permit Limit	Reported Quantity
December 2006	Ammonia Ammonia	001	5 mg/l 10 mg/l	7.22 mg/l 12.1 mg/l
April 2007	TSS (mass) TSS Ammonia (mass) CBOD (mass)	001	187 lbs/day 15 mg/l 62 lbs/day 125 lbs/day	682.5 lbs/day 24.8 mg/l 115.6 lbs/day 212 lbs/day
. May 2007	Ammonia Ammonia CBOD CBOD (mass)	001	5 mg/l 10 mg/l 5 mg/l 62 lbs/day	5.9 mg/l 11.6 mg/l 6.3 mg/l 64 lbs/day
June 2007 	CBOD CBOD	001	5 mg/l 8 mg/l	10.2 mg/l 10.5 mg/l
July 2007	CBOD CBOD CBOD (mass)	001	62 mg/l 5 mg/l 8 lbs/day	91.7 mg/l 7.8 mg/l 13.3 lbs/day
August 2007	Ammonia CBOD	001	5 mg/l 5 mg/l	5.1 mg/l 6.2 mg/l

Fact Sheet LA0020559; AI19306; PER20090001

Page 10

<del>:-</del>	1000		·	
	CBOD		8 mg/l	8.4 mg/l
September 2007	DID NOT REPORT	001		
October 2007	DID NOT REPORT	001		
November 2007	DID NOT REPORT	001		
December 2007	DID NOT REPORT	001	<del></del>	
January 2008	DID NOT REPORT	001	<u> </u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
February 2008	DID NOT REPORT	001		·
March 2008	Fecal	001	400	500
	TRC		<0.1 mg/l	2.5 mg/l
April 2008	Fecal	001	400	1,000
<del> </del>	TRC		<0.1 mg/l	2.8 mg/l
May 2008	Fecal	001	400	1,000
•	CBOD		5 mg/l	5.6 mg/l
	CBOD		8 mg/l	10.3 mg/l
	TRC		<0.1 mg/l	2.8 mg/l
June 2008	Fecal	001	400	2,210
	CBOD		8 mg/l	8.5 mg/l
	TRC		<0.1 mg/l	2.9 mg/l
July 2008	TRC	001	<0.1 mg/l	3.4 mg/l
August 2008	Fecal	001	400	1,000
<u> </u>	TRC		<0.1 mg/l	2.7 mg/l

#### XII. <u>ADDITIONAL INFORMATION:</u>

The Louisiana Department of Environmental Quality (LDEQ) reserves the right modify or revoke and reissue this permit based upon any changes to established TMDL's for this discharge, or to accommodate for pollutant trading provisions in approved TMDL watersheds as requested by the permittee and/or as necessary to achieve compliance with water quality standards. Therefore, prior to upgrading or expanding this facility, the permittee should contact the Department to determine the status of the work being done to establish future effluent limitations and additional permit conditions.

This permit may be modified, or alternatively, revoked and reissued, to comply with any applicable effluent standard or limitations issued or approved under sections 301(b)(2)(C) and (D); 304(b)(2); and 307(a)(2) of the Clean Water Act or more stringent discharge limitations and/or additional restrictions in the future to maintain the water quality integrity and the designated uses of the receiving water bodies based upon additional water quality studies and/or TMDL's, if the effluent standard, limitations, water quality studies or TMDL's so issued or approved:

- a) Contains different conditions or is otherwise more stringent than any effluent limitation in the permit; or
- b) Controls any pollutant not limited in the permit; or
- c) Requires reassessment due to change in 303(d) status of waterbody; or

Fact Sheet LA0020559; AI19306; PER20090001 Page 11

d) Incorporates the results of any total maximum daily load allocation, which may be approved for the receiving water body.

Please be aware that the Department has the authority to reduce monitoring frequencies when a permittee demonstrates two or more consecutive years of permit compliance. Monitoring frequencies established in LPDES permits are based on a number of factors, including but not limited to, the size of the discharge, the type of wastewater being discharged, the specific operations at the facility, past compliance history, similar facilities and best professional judgment of the reviewer. We encourage and invite each permittee to institute positive measures to ensure continued compliance with the LPDES permit, thereby qualifying for reduced monitoring frequencies upon permit reissuance. If the Department can be of any assistance in this area, please do not hesitate to contact us. As a reminder, the Department will also consider an increase in monitoring frequency upon permit reissuance when the permittee demonstrates continued non-compliance.

Final effluent loadings (i.e. lbs/day) have been established based upon the permit limit concentrations and the design capacity of 1.496 MGD.

Effluent loadings are calculated using the following example:

CBOD: 8.34 gal/lb x 1.496 MGD x 10 mg/l = 125 lb/day

At present, the Monitoring Requirements, Sample Types, and Frequency of Sampling as shown in the permit are standard for facilities of flows between 1.0 and 5.0 MGD.

Effluent Characteristics		
Monitoring Requirements	<u>Measurement</u>	Sample Sample
_;~	Frequency	Туре
Flow	Continuous	Recorder
CBOD <sub>5</sub>	2/week	6 Hr. Comp
Total Suspended Solids	2/week	6 Hr. Comp
Ammonia-Nitrogen	2/week	6 Hr. Comp
TRC	2/week	Grab
Fecal Coliform Bacteria	2/week	Grab
Biomonitoring		
Ceriodaphnia dubia (Method 1002.0)	1/quarter	24 Hr. Comp
Pimephales promelas (Method 1000.0)	1/quarter	24 Hr. Comp
рН	2/week	Grab

#### **Pretreatment Requirements**

Due to the absence of pretreatment categorical standards for the indirect discharges listed above or because the discharge is of sanitary wastewater only, it is recommended that LDEQ Option 1 Pretreatment Language be included in LPDES Permit LA0020559. This language is established for municipalities that do not have either an approved or required Pretreatment program. This recommendation is in accordance with 40 CFR Part 403 regulations, the General Pretreatment Regulations for Existing and New Sources of Pollution contained in LAC Title 33, Part IX, Chapter 61 and the Best Professional Judgement (BPJ) of the reviewer.

Fact Sheet <u>LA0020559</u>; Al<u>19306</u>; <u>PER20090001</u> Page 12

#### XIII TENTATIVE DETERMINATION:

On the basis of preliminary staff review, the Department of Environmental Quality has made a tentative determination to reissue a permit for the discharge described in this Statement of Basis.

#### XIV <u>REFERENCES</u>:

Louisiana Water Quality Management Plan / Continuing Planning Process, Vol. 8, "Wasteload Allocations / Total Maximum Daily Loads and Effluent Limitations Policy," Louisiana Department of Environmental Quality, 2005.

<u>Louisiana Water Quality Management Plan / Continuing Planning Process, Vol. 5, "Water Quality Inventory Section 305(b) Report,"</u> Louisiana Department of Environmental Quality, 1998.

<u>Louisiana Administrative Code, Title 33 - Environmental Quality, Part IX - Water Quality Regulations, Chapter 11 - "Louisiana Surface Water Quality Standards"</u>, Louisiana Department of Environmental Quality, 2004.

<u>Louisiana Administrative Code, Title 33 - Environmental Quality, Part IX - Water Quality Regulations, Subpart 2 - "The LPDES Program"</u>, Louisiana Department of Environmental Quality, 2004.

<u>Low-Flow Characteristics of Louisiana Streams</u>, Water Resources Technical Report No. 22, United States Department of the Interior, Geological Survey, 1980.

<u>Index to Surface Water Data in Louisiana</u>, Water Resources Basic Records Report No. 17, United States Department of the Interior, Geological Survey, 1989.

<u>LPDES Permit Application to Discharge Wastewater</u>, Town of Rayville, Rayville Wastewater Treatment Facility, January 5, 2009.

#### APPENDIX I

Numeric Toxic Limits: LDEQ has reviewed and evaluated the effluent analyses submitted by the permittee, and examined the following pollutants that are regulated by LAC 33:IX.1113.C.6. in accordance with the implementation procedures outlined under the <u>Permitting Guidance Document for Implementing Louisiana Surface Water Quality Standards, October 30, 1995</u>. Please see Appendix B-1, Water Quality Screen Spreadsheet.

Pollutant	Ce 1	Ce x 2.13 <sup>2</sup>	Water Quality Based Limit <sup>3</sup>	Drinking Water Source	Permit Limit ?
Mercury	0.00489 lbs/day	0.022lbs/day	0.0008 lbs/day		yes
TRC	2.83 μg/l .	12.84 lbs/day	0.114 lbs/day		yes

- 1/ Metals concentration results were presented as total metals in lab analysis submitted by the permittee. All pollutants calculated in  $\Phi g/l$ .
- 2/ For the reported effluent concentrations (Ce) it is estimated that 95% of the concentrations of chemicals taken over time will be 2.13 times the Ce or less.
- 3/ The water quality based limit is the maximum allowable instream concentration for that pollutant to be in compliance with water quality standards. Louisiana Water Quality Criteria for metals are hardness dependent, and expressed as dissolved metals. The water quality based limit is calculated with a conversion for metals limits expressed as total metals.

The following steps were used in evaluating the potential toxicity of the analyzed pollutants (see Appendix B-1):

i. An evaluation of the applicability of the effluent data.

Results of the PPS were entered and compared to EPA's Minimum Quantification Levels (MQL's) to determine the potential presence of the respective toxic pollutant. Those pollutants with reported laboratory Method Detection Levels (MDL's) which exceed their respective EPA MQL's are determined to be reasonably present in the effluent and an evaluation of their potential toxicity is determined. Those pollutants with MDLs less than the MQL are determined to be not potentially present in the effluent and eliminated from further evaluation.

ii. Calculation of permit limits based on applicable water quality standards.

Applicable water quality criteria are listed in the Appendix B-1 in Columns 12-14. These values were used to calculate the Waste Load Allocations (WLAs) for each of the toxic pollutants. The WLA is the maximum allowable concentration of a pollutant necessary to meet the respective water quality criteria. The WLAs are calculated as described in the State's Permitting Guidance Document for Implementing Louisiana Surface Water Quality Standards, dated October 30, 1995, as follows:

#### Appendix 1 Page 2

#### Complete Mix Balance Model for Waste Load Allocation

```
plant effluent, MGD = 1.496
Qė
Qr
                          critical flow of receiving stream, 3.51 cfs
Fs
                          MZ, ZID flow fraction, LAC 33:IX.1115.D.7
                          and 8 (MZ = 1, and ZID = 0.1)
                          numerical criteria value from LAC 33:IX.1113, Table 1
Cu
                          ambient instream concentration for pollutant. In the absence of
                          accurate supporting data, assume Cu = 0
WLA
                          concentration for pollutant at end-of-pipe based on aquatic life and
                          human health numerical criteria (site specific dilution type)
LTA
                          long term average, units same as WLA
WQBL
                          effluent water quality based limit.
Dilution factor
                                 Oe
                            (QrFs + Qe)
Dilution factor (acute)
                              1.496
                             (3.51)(0.6463)(0.1) + 1.496
                          = 0.87
Dilution factor (chronic)
                             1.496
                             (3.51)(0.6463)(1.0) + 1.496
                          = 0.397
```

#### WLA = (Cr/Dilution factor) - (FsQrCu/Qe)

iii. Conversion of dissolved metals criteria for aquatic life to total metals.

Metals criteria for aquatic life protection are based on dissolved metals concentrations and hardness values averaged from data compilations contained in the Louisiana Water Quality Data Summary. A dissolved to total metal conversion will be implemented. Hardness and TSS are a function of the conversion. This involves determining a linear partition coefficient for the metal of concern and using this to determine the fraction of metal dissolved, so that the dissolved metal ambient criteria may be translated to a total effluent limit. The average hardness value used for the analysis is mg/l CaCO3 (USGS data). The 15th percentile TSS value is mg/l. The formula for converting dissolved metals to total metals for streams and lakes are provided below.

$$K_p = K_{po} \times TSS^*$$

$$K_p = (2.9 \times 10^6) \times 8^{(-1.14)}$$

Appendix I Page 3

then, 
$$\frac{C_D}{C_T} = \frac{1}{1 + (K_P)(TSS)(10^6)}$$

$$\frac{C_D}{C_T} = \frac{1}{1 + (270,941.43)(8)(10^{-6})}$$

$$= 0.316.$$
therefore,
$$Total \ Metal = \frac{Cr}{(C_D/C_T)}$$

#### TABLE A

# LINEAR PARTITION COEFFICIENTS FOR PRIORITY METALS IN STREAMS AND LAKES

(Delos et. al, 1984) (\*1)

METAL	STREA		» LAKES			
	K <sub>po</sub>	e et samme grace	$\bar{K}_{po}$			
Arsenic	0.48 x 10 <sup>6</sup>	-0.73	0.48 x 10 <sup>6</sup>	-0.73		
Cadmium	4.00 x 10 <sup>6</sup>	-1.13	3.52 x 10 <sup>6</sup>	-0.92		
Chromium III (*2)	3.36 x 10 <sup>6</sup>	-0.93	2.17 x 10 <sup>6</sup>	-0.27		
Copper	1.04 x 10 <sup>6</sup>	-0.74	2.85 x 10 <sup>6</sup>	-0.9		
Lead	$2.80 \times 10^6$	-0.8	2.04 x 10 <sup>6</sup> .	-0.53		
Mercury	2.90 x 10 <sup>6</sup>	-1,14	1.97 x 10 <sup>6</sup>	-1.17		
Nickel	0.49 x 10 <sup>6</sup>	-0.57	2.21 x 10 <sup>6</sup>	-0.76		
Zinc	1.25 x 10 <sup>6</sup>	-0.7	3.34 x 10 <sup>6</sup>	-0.68		

- (\*1) Delos, C. G., W. L. Richardson, J. V. DePinto, R. B. Ambrose, P. W. Rogers, K. Rygwelski, J. P. St. John, W. J. Shaughnessey, T. A. Faha, W. N. Christie. Technical Guidance for performing Waste Load Allocations, Book II: Streams and Rivers. Chapter 3: Toxic Substances, for the U. S. Environmental Protection Agency. (EPA-440/4-84-022).
- (\*2) Linear partition coefficients shall not apply to the Chromium VI numerical criterion. The approved analytical method for Chromium VI measures only the dissolved form. Therefore, permit limits for Chromium VI shall be expressed in the dissolved form. See 40 CFR 122.45(c)(3).

#### Appendix 1 Page 4

WLA a,c,h = (Cr/Dilution factor) - (FsQrCu/Qe)  
WLA acute = 
$$(5.49/0.87)$$
 -  $[(3.51)(0.6463)(0.1)/1.496]$  =  $6.31$   
WLA chronic =  $(0.038/0.397)$  -  $[(3.51)(0.6463)(1)/1.496]$  =  $0.096$ 

iv. Calculation of Long Term Averages (LTA's) and Permit Limits.

Comparison of the reported effluent data (converted to the 95th percentile) to the calculated effluent limitations. Long term averages are listed in the Appendix B-1 in Columns 15-17.

Long term averages are calculated for each WLA (based on aquatic and human health criteria). The LTA's are calculated as follows:

A comparison of each LTA is made and the lowest (most restrictive) is selected to calculate the effluent limitations. The most limiting LTA is listed in Appendix B-1, Column 18.

Calculation of permit limits if aquatic life LTA is more limiting:

Daily Average =  $Min(LTA_a, LTA_c) \times 1.31$ 

Daily Maximum = Min(LTA<sub>a</sub>, LTA<sub>c</sub>) x 3.11  
Daily Average = 
$$0.051 \times 1.31 = 0.067 \Phi g/1$$
  
Daily Maximum =  $0.051 \times 3.11 = 0.16 \Phi g/1$ 

If human health LTA is more limiting:

```
Daily Average = LTA_h
Daily Maximum = LTA_h x 2.38
```

The resulting allowable effluent concentration is converted to a mass value using the following formula:

lbs/day = 
$$(0.000067 \text{ mg/l}) \times 8.34 \times 1.496 \text{ MGD}$$
  
=  $8.4e^{-4}$ 

Comparison of the reported effluent data (converted to 95th percentile) is made to the calculated effluent limitations. Water Quality Based limits are listed in Appendix B-1, Columns 19-22.

In accordance with the State of Louisiana's implementation procedures, the reported effluent concentration is compared to the calculated daily average concentration. If the effluent concentration is greater than the calculated daily average concentration, then a reasonable potential exists and an effluent limitation for the pollutant of concern is imposed in the permit. (Please refer to Appendix B-1 for the calculated daily average concentration listed in Column 19 and the effluent concentration listed in Column 3.)

#### Appendix I Page 5

The discharge is considered to pose a reasonable potential to cause a water quality excursion if the estimated 95th percentile of a pollutant in the effluent will result in an instream waste concentration, which is above the applicable State water quality criterion. The 95th percentile of possible effluent concentrations are estimated as follows:

$$C_{95} = C_{\text{mean}} * \exp(1.645 * \Phi - 0.5 * \Phi^2)$$

where: 1.645 = normal distribution factor at 95th percentile

$$Φ2 = In(CV2 + 1)$$
if CV is assumed = 0.6,
 $Φ2 = .307$ 

The ratio of the estimated 95th percentile value to the mean ( $C_{95}/C_{mean}$ ) is calculated :

$$C_{95}/C_{mean} = 2.13$$

Based upon review of the permittee's effluent data, there are/is one/more pollutant(s) present or potentially present in the effluent discharge in such concentrations which would cause an exceedance of Louisiana's Water Quality Standards. These/This pollutant(s) is identified as list/ name pollutant>. A summary of the evaluation of the permittee's effluent analysis of the toxic pollutants is listed in Appendix B-1. As per LAC 33:1X.2709.F.1, all pollutants limited in permits shall have limitations, standards, or prohibitions expressed in terms of mass. Consequently, water quality-based limitations as seen in the permit are expressed in terms of mass.

## WQBL CALCULATIONS FOR TOWN OF RAYVILLE RAYVILLE WASTEWATER TREATMENT FACILITY LA0020559 AI 19306

DESIGN CAPACITY (Q<sub>e</sub>): 1.496 MGD CRITICAL LOW FLOW (7Q10): 3.51 cfs

HARDNESS VALUE: 92.9 mg/L

FIFTEENTH PERCENTILE VALUE FOR TSS: 8 mg/L

PRIORITY POLLUTANT: MERCURY

Hg = Aquatic Life, Dissolved Metal Criteria

- Hg (Acute) =  $2.04 \mu g/1 \times 0.85 = 1.734$
- Hg (Chronic) =  $0.012 \mu g/l$

## DISSOLVED TO TOTAL METAL CONVERSION

 $\underline{C_D}$  = Fraction of metal dissolved  $\overline{C_T}$ 

 $K_p$  = Linear partition coefficient

 $K_{po}$  and  $\alpha$  = factors of  $K_p$  found in Table 1 of the Permitting Guidance Document for Implementing Louisiana Surface Water Quality Standards, Water Quality Management Plan, Volume 3, April 16, 2008.

$$\frac{C_{D}}{C_{T}} = \frac{1}{1 + (K_{p}) (TSS) (10^{-6})}$$

$$K_{p} = K_{po} X TSS^{\alpha}$$

$$K_{p} = K_{po} X TSS^{\alpha}$$

$$K_{p} = K_{po} X TSS^{\alpha}$$

$$E_{p} = K_{po} X TSS^{\alpha}$$

$$= 270,941.43$$

$$\frac{C_{D}}{C_{T}} = \frac{1}{1 + (270,941.43) (8) (10^{-6})}$$

$$= \frac{1}{3.168}$$

$$= 0.316$$

Dissolved Metal Criteria (Zn) /  $C_D/C_T$  = Total Metal Criteria (Cr) Acute Criteria  $1.734 \mu g/L$  / 0.316 =  $5.49 \mu g/L$ Chronic Criteria  $0.012 \mu g/L$  / 0.316 =  $0.038 \mu g/L$ 

**CRITERIA** 

 DISSOLVED
 TOTAL

 ACUTE
 2.04 μg/L
 5.49 μg/L

 CHRONIC
 0.012 μg/L
 0.038 μg/L

## **DILUTION CALCULATIONS**

DILUTION FACTOR = 
$$\frac{Q_e}{Q_r X F_s + Q_e}$$

Q<sub>e</sub> = plant effluent in MGD

 $Q_r = 7Q10$  flow in MGD

 $F_s = MZ$ , ZID flow fraction

ZID = Zone of Initial Dilution

MZ = Mixing Zone

• ZID (ACUTE) = 
$$\frac{1.496 \text{ MGD}}{(3.51 \text{ cfs})(0.6463 \text{ MGD/cfs})(0.1 \text{ cfs}) + 1.496 \text{ MGD}} = 0.87$$

• MZ (CHRONIC) = 
$$\frac{1.496 \text{ MGD}}{(3.51 \text{ cfs})(0.6463 \text{ MGD/cfs})(1 \text{ cfs}) + 1.496 \text{ MGD}} = 0.397$$

CONCLUDE THAT:

87% of effluent at edge of ZID

39.7% of effluent at edge of MZ

## WASTELOAD ALLOCATION (WLA) CALCULATIONS

$$WLA = C_{\underline{r}} - F_{\underline{s}} X C_{\underline{r}} X C_{\underline{u}}$$
Dilution

Dilution = Dilution Factor (ZID or MZ)

 $F_s = MZ$ , ZID flow fraction

 $C_u$  = Ambient instream concentration, assume  $C_u$  = 0

 $Q_c = plant effluent in MGD$ 

• WLA<sub>ZID</sub> (ACUTE) = 
$$\frac{5.49 \,\mu\text{g/L}}{0.87}$$
 = 6.31  $\mu\text{g/L}$ 

• WLA<sub>MZ</sub> (CHRONIC) = 
$$0.038 \,\mu\text{g/L} = 0.096 \,\mu\text{g/L}$$
  
 $0.397$ 

# LONG TERM AVERAGE (LTA) CALCULATIONS

•  $LTA_{ZID}(ACUTE) = WLA_{ZID} X 0.32$ 

=  $6.31 \,\mu\text{g/L} \times 0.32 = 2.01 \,\mu\text{g/L}$ 

• LTA<sub>MZ</sub> (CHRONIC) =  $WLA_{MZ} \times 0.53$ 

=  $0.096 \mu g/L \times 0.53 = 0.051 \mu g/L$ 

## **WQBL CALCULATIONS**

LIMITING LTA =  $0.051 \mu g/l$ 

MONTHLY AVERAGE = LIMITING LTA X 1.31

 $= 0.051 \,\mu g/L \,X \,1.31$ 

 $= 0.067 \, \mu g/L$ 

=  $0.000067 \text{ mg/L X } 1.496 \text{ MGD X } 8.34 \text{ lbs/day} = 8.4 \text{ e}^{-4} \text{ lbs/day}$ 

• DAILY MAXIMUM = LIMITING LTA X 3.11

 $= 0.051 \,\mu g/L \,X \,3.11$ 

 $= 0.16 \,\mu g/L$ 

=  $0.00016 \text{ mg/L } \text{X} 1.496 \text{ MGD } \text{X} 8.34 \text{ lbs/day} = 1.2 e^{-3} \text{ lbs/day}$ 

Date:

03/31

wqsmodn.wk4

Page 1 Developer: Bruce Fielding Time: 02:51 PM Software: Lotus 4.0 LA0020559 AT 19306 Revision date: 08/07/08 Water Quality Screen for Town of Rayville Input variables: Receiving Water Characteristics: Dilution: ZID Fs = Receiving Water Name= Boeuf River Critical flow (Qr) cfs= 3.51 M2 Fs = Harm. mean/avg tidal cfs= 75.9 Critical Or (MGD) = 2.268513 Drinking Water=1 HHNPCR=2 Harm. Mean (MGD)= 49.05417 MW=1, BW=2, 0=n ZID Dilution = 0.868328 Rec. Water Hardness= 92.9 M2 Dilution = 0.3973954 Rec. Water TSS= HHnc Dilution-0.3973954 HHc Dilution= Fisch/Specific=1,Stream=0 0.0295944 Diffuser Ratio= ZID Upstream -0.1516386 MZ Upstream = 1.5163857 Partition Coefficients: Dissolved -- > Total Effluent Characteristics: MZhhnc Upstream= 1.5163857 Permittee= Town of Rayville METALS Permit Number= LA0020559 AI 19306 Total Arsenic 1.8415415 Facility flow (Qef),MGD= 1.496 M2hhc Upstream= 32.790221 Total Cadmium 4.0525184 ZID Hardness= Chromium III 4.8864723 Outfall Number -MZ Hardness= 001 Chromium VI Eff. data, 2=1bs/day 2 ZID TSS= . - -Total Copper 2.7858161 MOL, 2-1bs/day MZ TSS-Total Lead 5.2440064 Effluent Hardness= N/A Multipliers: Total Mercury 3.1675314 Effluent TSS= N/A WLAa --> LTAa 0.32 Total Nickel 2.1981875 WQBL ind, 0-y, 1-n-WLAc --> LTAC 0.53 Total zinc 3.3325825 Acute/Chr. ratio 0=n, 1=y LTA a,c-->WOBL avg 1.31 Aquatic,acute only1=y,0=n LTA a,c-->WQBL max 3.11 Aquatic Life, Dissolved LTA h --> WQBL max 2.38 Metal Criteria, ug/L Page Numbering/Labeling WQBL-limit/report 2.13 METALS ACUTE CHRONIC Appendix Appendix B-1 WLA Fraction 1 Arsenic 339.8 Page Numbers 1=y, 0=n 1 WQBL Fraction Cadmium 29.36458 0 9764098 Input Page # 1=y, 0=n Chromium III 516.61856 167.58572 Conversions: Chromium VI 15.712 10.582 Fischer/Site Specific inputs: ug/L-->1bs/day Qef 0.0124766 Copper 17.19089 11.534759 Pipe=1,Canal=2,Specific=3 ug/L-->lbs/day Qeo Lead 59.599637 2.3225123 Pipe width, feet ug/L-->lbs/day Qr 0.0292734 Mercury 1.734 0.012 2ID plume dist., feet . lbs/day-->ug/L Qeo 80.149784 Nickel 1329.9106 147.69724 MZ plume dist., feet lbs/day-->ug/L Qef 80.149784 107.52403 98.185747 HHnc plume dist., feet diss-->tot l=y0=n HHc plume dist., feet Cu diss->tot1-y0-n Site Specific Multiplier Values: cfs-->MGD 0.6463 CV = Pischer/site specific dilutions: N = ilution = Receiving Stream: WLAS --> LTAS F/specific MZ Dilution = Default Hardness= 25 WLAC --> LTAC F/specific HHnc Dilution= Default TSS\* 10 LTA a,c-->WQBL avg F/specific HHc Dilution-99 Crit., 1-y, 0-n LTA a,c-->WQBL max LTA h --> WQBL max

Appendix B-1

Appendix B-1 Town of Rayville LA0020559 AI 19306

Dama '

Table	(*1)	(*2)	(+3)	(*4)	(*5)	(+6)	(*7)	(*8)	(*9)	(*10)	(*11)	•
Parameters	Toxic	Cu	Effluent	Effluent	MOL	Effluent	95th %					
Conc. (Avg)	Parameters ·	Instream	/Tech	/Tech		1=No 951	estimace					
NonCommerce	·	Conc.	(Avg)	(Max)		0+95 %	Non-Tech	FW			<del>-</del>	
NONCEPHTOMA  7 CHO 1 Pleno 1 (1AMP)  3 CHO 1 Pleno 1 P		ug/L	lbs/day	lbs/day	lbs/day					110/1.		
3. Chlorophenol   0.1147664   183   192	NONCONVENTIONAL				•		• • • •	-3, -	-3,-	-3,-	·	
	Total Phenols (4AAP)				0.0623832			700	350	50		
2,3-Dichlarophemol	3-Chlorophenol				0.1247664							
2.5-Dichlorophenol 0.1247664 2.5-Dichlorophenol 0.1247664 3.4-Dichlorophenol 0.1247664 3.5-Dichlorophenol 0.1247664 3.5-Dichlorophen	4-Chlorophenol				0.1247664			383	192			
2,6-Dichlorophenol 0.1247664	2,3-Dichloropheπol				0.1247664							
3.4-Dichlorophenoty	2.5-Dichlorophenol				0.1247664							
2,4-Dichlorophenocy- sectic acid (2,4-10)	2,6-Dichlorophenol				0.1247664							•
Sectic acid (2,4-D)	3,4-Dichlorophenol				0.1247664							
2-(2.4.5-Trichlorophen- oxy) propionic acid (2.4.5-TF, Silvex)  METALS AND CYANIDE  Total Arsenic  0.1247664	2,4-Dichlorophenocy-											
Company   Propionic acid (2.4.5-TP, Silvex)   Company	acetic acid (2,4-D)											
METALS AND CYANIDE	2-(2,4,5-Trichlorophen-		·	•	•			•				
######################################	oxy) propionic acid											
Total Arsenic   0.1247664   625.7558 276.23122   1   1   1   1   1   1   1   1   1	(2,4,5-TP, Silvex)											
Total Arsenic   0.1247664   625.7558 276.23122   1   1   1   1   1   1   1   1   1												
Total Cadmium	METALS AND CYANIDE				•						•	
Chromium III	Total Arsenic	٠.			0.1247664			625.7558 2	76.23122			
Chromium VI	Total Cadmium				0.0124766			119.0005 3	.9569188			
Total Copper	Chromium III		•		0.1247664		:	2524.4423 8	18.90297			
Total Lead	Chromium VI				0.1247664			15:712	10.582			
Total Nickel   0.499656   2923.3928 324.66622   Total Nickel   0.499656   2923.3928 324.66622   Total Zinc   0.2495328 358.33271 327.2121   Total Cyanide   0.2495428 358.33271 327.2121   Total Cyanide   0.249644 2249 328 34.7	Total Copper				0.1247664			17.090658 3	2.133716			
Total Nickel 0.499656 2933.3926 324.66622 Total Zine 0.2495328 358.33271 327.2121 Total Cyanide 0.2495328 45.9 5.4 12844  DIOXIN  2.3,7,8 TCDD; dioxin 1.2E-07 7.2E-07 C  VOLATILE COMPOUNDS  Benzene 0.1247664 2249 1125 12.5 C  Bromoform 0.1247664 2930 1465 34.7 C  Bromodichloromethane 0.1247664 2930 1465 34.7 C  Bromodichloromethane 0.1247664 2730 1365 1.2 C  Carbon Tetrachloride 0.1247664 2890 1445 70 C  Chloroform 0.1247664 1800 5900 6.8 C  L)-Dichloroethane 0.1247664 11800 5900 6.8 C  1,2-Dichloroethane 0.1247664 11800 5900 6.8 C  1,1-Dichloroethane 0.1247664 1160 580 0.58 C  1,3-Dichloropropylene 0.1247664 606 313 162.79  Ethylbenzene 0.1247664 1200 1600 8100  Methyl Chloride 0.623832 55000 27500  Methylene Chloride 0.623832 55000 27500  Methylene Chloride 0.2495328 19300 9650 87 C		en en in designation	ىرىنۇ <u>ل كالىقىدۇ</u> بۇي	*******								
Total Zine 0.2495328 358.33271 327.2121  Total Cyanide 0.2495328 45.9 5.4 12844  DIOXIN  2.3,7,8 TCDD; dioxin 1.2E-07 7.2E-07 C  VOLATILE COMPOUNDS  Benzene 0.1247664 2249 1125 12.5 C  Bromoform 0.1247664 2930 1465 34.7 C  Bromodichloromethane 0.1247664 2930 1465 34.7 C  Bromodichloromethane 0.1247664 2930 1465 34.7 C  Bromodichloromethane 0.1247664 2930 1465 1.2 C  Carbon Tetrachloride 0.1247664 2730 1365 1.2 C  Chloroform 0.1247664 2890 1445 70 C  Dibromochloromethane 0.1247664 1800 5900 6.8 C  1.2-Dichloroethane 0.1247664 11800 5900 6.8 C  1.1-Dichloroethane 0.1247664 11800 5900 6.8 C  1.1-Dichloroethylene 0.1247664 1160 580 0.58 C  1.3-Dichloropropylene 0.1247664 3200 1600 8100  Methyl Chloride 0.623832 55000 27500  Methyl Chloride 0.2495328 19300 9650 87 C	Total Mercury 2	> /\$10 True	0.00489.	aiean :-	0.0024953	10 P 0	0.0104157	4924995	:0380104	<b>3</b> 4.1.1.1.5		6.7 C#0.
Total Cyanide 0.2495328 45.9 5.4 12844  DIOXIN 2,3,7,8 TCDD; dioxin 1.2E-07 7.2E-07 C  VOLATILE COMPOUNDS  Benzene 0.1247664 2249 1125 12.5 C  Bromoform 0.1247664 2930 1465 34.7 C  Bromofichloromethane 0.1247664 2730 1365 1.2 C  Carbon Tetrachloride 0.1247664 2730 1365 1.2 C  Chloroform 0.1247664 2890 1445 70 C  Dibromochloromethane 0.1247664 2890 1445 70 C  Dibromochloromethane 0.1247664 1160 590 6.8 C  1,2-Dichloroethane 0.1247664 1160 580 0.58 C  1,1-Dichloroethylene 0.1247664 1160 580 0.58 C  1,3-Dichloropropylene 0.1247664 100 580 0.58 C  1,1-Dichloropropylene 0.1247664 100 0.58 C  1,1-Dichloropropylene 0.124764 100 0.58 C  1,1-Dichloroprop	Total Nickel				0.4990656		:	2923.3920 3	24.66622			
DIOXIN 2,3,7,8 TCDD; dioxin 1.2E-07 7,2E-07 C  VOLATILE COMPOUNDS  Benzene 0.1247664 2249 1125 12.5 C Bromoform 0.1247664 2930 1465 34.7 C  Sromodichloromethane 0.1247664 2730 1365 1.2 C Carbon Tetrachloride 0.1247664 2730 1365 1.2 C Chloroform 0.1247664 2890 1445 70 C C Dibromochloromethane 0.1247664 2890 1445 70 C C 1,2-Dichloroethane 0.1247664 11800 5900 6.8 C 1,1-Dichloroethylene 0.1247664 11800 5900 6.8 C 1,1-Dichloroethylene 0.1247664 11800 5900 6.8 C 1,3-Dichloropropylene 0.1247664 11800 5900 6.8 C 1,3-Dichloropropylene 0.1247664 11800 5900 6.8 C 1,1-Dichloroethylene 0.1247664 11800 5900 6.8 C 1,1-Dichloroethylene 0.1247664 11800 5900 6.8 C 1,1-Dichloroethylene 0.1247664 11800 5900 6.8 C 1,1-Dichloropropylene 0.1247664 11800 5900 6.8 C 1,1-Dichloroethylene 0.1247664 11800 5900 6.8 C 1,1-Dichloroethylene 0.1247664 11800 5900 6.8 C 1,1-Dichloropropylene 0.1247664 11800 5900 6.8 C 1,1-Dichloroethylene 0.1247664 11800 5900 6.8 C 1,1-Dichloroethylene 0.1247664 11800 5900 6.8 C 1,1-Dichloropropylene	,				0.2495328		:	358.33271	327.2121			
2,3,7,8 TCDD; dioxin   1.2E-07   C	Total Cyanide				0.2495328			45.9	5.4	12844		
2,3,7,8 TCDD; dioxin   1.2E-07   C												•
VOLATILE COMPOUNDS  Benzene 0.1247664 2249 1125 12.5 C Bromoform 0.1247664 2930 1465 34.7 C Bromodichloromethane 0.1247664 2730 1365 1.2 C Carbon Tetrachloride 0.1247664 2890 1445 70 C Chloroform 0.1247664 2890 1445 70 C Dibromochloromethane 0.1247664 11800 5900 6.8 C 1,2-Dichloroethane 0.1247664 11800 5900 6.8 C 1,1-Dichloroethylene 0.1247664 1160 580 0.58 C 1,3-Dichloropropylene 0.1247664 3200 1600 8100  Methyl Chloride 0.623832 55000 27500  Methylene Chloride 0.2495328 19300 9650 87 C												
Benzene 0.1247664 2249 1125 12.5 C Bromoform 0.1247664 2930 1465 34.7 C Bromodichloromethane 0.1247664 2930 1465 34.7 C Carbon Tetrachloride 0.1247664 2730 1365 1.2 C Chloroform 0.1247664 2890 1445 70 C Dibromochloromethane 0.1247664 2890 1445 70 C Dibromochloromethane 0.1247664 11800 5900 6.8 C 1,2-Dichloroethane 0.1247664 11800 5900 6.8 C 1,1-Dichloroethylene 0.1247664 1160 580 0.58 C 1,3-Dichloropropylene 0.1247664 606 303 162.79 Ethylbenzene 0.1247664 3200 1600 8100 Methylene Chloride 0.623832 55000 27500 Methylene Chloride 0.2495328 19300 9650 87 C	2,3,9,8 TCDD; dloxin				1.2E-07					7.2E-07	¢	
Benzene 0.1247664 2249 1125 12.5 C Bromoform 0.1247664 2930 1465 34.7 C Bromodichloromethane 0.1247664 2930 1465 34.7 C Carbon Tetrachloride 0.1247664 2730 1365 1.2 C Chloroform 0.1247664 2890 1445 70 C Dibromochloromethane 0.1247664 2890 1445 70 C Dibromochloromethane 0.1247664 11800 5900 6.8 C 1,2-Dichloroethane 0.1247664 11800 5900 6.8 C 1,1-Dichloroethylene 0.1247664 1160 580 0.58 C 1,3-Dichloropropylene 0.1247664 606 303 162.79 Ethylbenzene 0.1247664 3200 1600 8100 Methylene Chloride 0.623832 55000 27500 Methylene Chloride 0.2495328 19300 9650 87 C	HOLDELL D. GOUDOUNDO											
Bromoform 0.1247664 2930 1465 34.7 C Bromodichloromethane 0.1247664 2730 1365 1.2 C Carbon Tetrachloride 0.1247664 2890 1445 70 C Dibromochloromethane 0.1247664 2890 1445 70 C Dibromochloromethane 0.1247664 11800 5900 6.8 C 1,2-Dichloroethane 0.1247664 11800 5900 6.8 C 1,1-Dichloroethylene 0.1247664 1160 580 0.58 C 1,3-Dichloropropylene 0.1247664 606 303 162.79 Ethylbenzene 0.1247664 3200 1600 8100 Methyl Chloride 0.623832 55000 27500 Methylene Chloride 0.2495328 19300 9650 87 C												
Bromodichloromethane 0.1247664 3.3 C Carbon Tetrachloride 0.1247664 2730 1365 1.2 C Chloroform 0.1247664 2890 1445 70 C Dibromochloromethane 0.1247664 1800 5900 6.8 C 1,2-Dichloroethane 0.1247664 11800 5900 6.8 C 1,1-Dichloroethylene 0.1247664 1160 580 0.58 C 1,3-Dichloropropylene 0.1247664 606 303 162.79 Ethylbenzene 0.1247664 3200 1600 8100 Methyl Chloride 0.623832 55000 27500 Methylene Chloride 0.2495328 19300 9650 87 C										12.5	c ·	
Carbon Tetrachloride 0.1247664 2730 1365 1.2 C Chloroform 0.1247664 2890 1445 70 C Dibromochloromethane 0.1247664 11600 5900 6.8 C 1,2-Dichloroethane 0.1247664 11600 5900 6.8 C 1,1-Dichloroethylene 0.1247664 1160 580 0.58 C 1,3-Dichloropropylene 0.1247664 606 303 162.79 Ethylbenzene 0.1247664 3200 1600 8100 Methyl Chloride 0.623832 55000 27500 Methylene Chloride 0.2495328 19300 9650 87 C 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloro-								2930	1465			
Chloroform 0.1247664 2890 1445 70 C Dibromochloromethane 0.1247664 5.08 C 1,2-Dichloroethane 0.1247664 11800 5900 6.8 C 1,1-Dichloroethylene 0.1247664 1160 580 0.58 C 1,3-Dichloropropylene 0.1247664 606 303 162.79 Ethylbenzene 0.1247664 3200 1600 8100 Methyl Chloride 0.623832 55000 27500 Methylene Chloride 0.2495328 19300 9650 87 C 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloro-												
Dibromochloromethane 0.1247664 5.08 C 1,2-Dichloroethane 0.1247664 11800 5900 6.8 C 1,1-Dichloroethylene 0.1247664 1160 580 0.58 C 1,3-Dichloropropylene 0.1247664 606 303 162.79 Ethylbenzene 0.1247664 3200 1600 8100 Methyl Chloride 0.623832 55000 27500 Methylene Chloride 0.2495328 19300 9650 87 C 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloro-												
1,2-Dichloroethane 0.1247664 11800 5900 6.8 C 1,1-Dichloroethylene 0.1247664 1160 580 0.58 C 1,3-Dichloropropylene 0.1247664 606 303 162.79 Ethylbenzene 0.1247664 3200 1600 8100 Methyl Chloride 0.623832 55000 27500 Methylene Chloride 0.2495328 19300 9650 87 C 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloro-							•	2890	1445			
1,1-Dichloroethylene 0.1247664 1160 580 0.58 C 1,3-Dichloropropylene 0.1247664 606 303 162.79  Ethylbenzene 0.1247664 3200 1600 8100  Methyl Chloride 0.623832 55000 27500  Methylene Chloride 0.2495328 19300 9650 87 C 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloro-												
1,3-Dichloropropylene 0.1247664 606 303 162.79  Ethylbenzene 0.1247664 3200 1600 8100  Methyl Chloride 0.623832 55000 27500  Methylene Chloride 0.2495328 19300 9650 87 C  1,1,2,2-Tetrachloro-												
Ethylbenzene 0.1247664 3200 1600 8100 Methyl Chloride 0.623832 55000 27500 Methylene Chloride 0.2495328 19300 9650 87 C 1.1,2,2-Tetrachloro-					,				•		С	
Methyl Chloride 0.623832 55000 27500  Methylene Chloride 0.2495328 19300 9650 B7 C  1,1,2,2-Tetrachloro-				•								
Methylene Chloride 0.2495328 19300 9650 87 C										8100		
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloro-										••		
about a second					U. 23/33/8			19300	>650	B7	С	
732 100 1.6 C					0.1247664			977	456	3.0	c	
			-					722	100	1.0	~	

Appendix'B-1
Town of Rayville
LA0020559 AI 19306

Page 3

(*1)	(*12)	(*13)	(*14)	(*15)	(*16)	(=17)	(*18)	(*1 <del>9</del> )	(*20)	(*21)	(*22)	(*23)
Toxic	WLAa	WLAC		LTA:	LTAC	LTAh	Limiting	MÖBT	WOBL	MÖBL	WQBL	Reed
Parameters	Acute	Chronic	HHNDW	Acute	Chronic	HHNDW	А,С,ИН	Avg	Мах	Avg	Max	WOBL?
								001	001	001	001	
	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	. ug/L	. ug/I	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	lbs/day	lbs/day	
NONCONVENTIONAL												
Total Phenols (4AAP)	806.147	880.73499	125.81928	257.96704	466.78955	125.81928	125.81928	125.81928	299.4499	1.5698019	3.7361286	no
3-Chlorophenol		•••			•••							no
4-Chlorophenol	441.07757	483.14605	*	141.14482	256.06741		141.14482	184.89972	438.9604	2.3069272	5.4767509	vo
2,3-Dichlorophenol												no
2,5-Dichlorophenol	•				•	=					•••	no
2,6-Dichlorophenol												no
3,4-Dichlorophenol	* *==,				•			•			•	no
2,4-Dichlorophenocy-												
acetic acid (2,4-D)	•				• • •					•••		סת
2-(2,4,5-Trichlorophen-		•										
oxy) propionic acid									•			
(2,4,5-TP, Silvex)		54.2				• • •						no
METALS AND CYANIDE				-								
Total Arsenic	720.64451	695.1043		230.60624	368.40528		230.60624	302.09418	717.18542	3.7691203	8.9480643	סת
Total Cadmium	137.04557	9.9571338		43.854582	5.2772809				16.412344			no
. Chromium III	2907.2451	2060.6757		930,31843	1092.1581				2893.2903			no
Chromium VI	18.094545	26.628393		5.7902545	14.113049				18.007691			no
Total Copper	55.152729	80.860824		17.648873	42.856237				54.887996			no
Total Lead	359.93413	30.647739	•••	115.17892	16.243302				50.516669			по
Total-Mercury	6.3253742	0.0956488	Name of the second	2:0241198	0:0506930				0:1576579			170
Total Nickel	3366.6919	816.98543		1077.3414					1346.6371			no
Total Zinc	412.66976	823.39185		132.05432	436.39768				410.68895			по
Total Cyanide	52.86021	13.588483	32320.458	16.915267	7.2018959				22.397896			no
•											*	
DIÓXIN												
2,3,7,8 TCDD; dioxin			2.433E-05			2.433E-05	2.433E-05	2.433E-05	5.79E-05	3.035E-07	7 2245-02	no
									***************************************			
VOLATILE COMPOUNDS												
Benzene	2590.0351	2830.9339	422.37776	628.81125	1500.395	422.37776	422,37776	422.37776	1005.2591	5 2698552	12 542256	по
Bromoform									3358.1044			
Bromodichloromethane			111.50773						265.38839			no
Carbon Tetrachloride	3143.9733	3434.8665	40.548265	1006.0715								no ·
Chloroform	3328.2355											no
Dibromochloromethane	•••		171.65432						408.53728			no
1,2-Dichloroethane	13589.335											no
1,1-Dichloroethylene	1335.9007											no
1,3-Dichloropropylene	697.89297											no
Ethylbenzene	3685.2434											no
Methyl Chloride	63340.121				36676.322							no
Methylene Chloride	22226.624								63036.089			no
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloro-	,				12010,005	2337.1932	4937./992	4333.7492	0376.6031 .	J6.678192 I	87.294098	no
ethane	1073.3271	1172.6357	60.822392	343.46469	621 48604	ED 822202	60 022255	en name-	144 8755			
	- / <b></b> · • /				,	SU. UZZ331	UV. 02237/	00.022337	144.75731	v./\$88592 ]	1.8060848	по

Appendix B-1 Town of Rayville LA0020559 AI 19306

Page 4

(*1)	(*2)	(*3)	(*4)	. (*5)	(+6)	(+7)	(*8)	(+9)	(*10)	(*11)	
Toxic	Cu	Effluent	Effluent	MQL	Effluent	95th %	Nume	rical Crit	eria	сіа нн	
Parameters	Instream	/Tech	/Tech		1=No 95%	estimate	Acute	Chronic	HHNDW	Carcinogen	
	Conc.	(Avg)	(Max)		0=95 %	Non-Tech	FW	FW		Indicator	
	ug/L	1bs/day	lbs/day	lbs/day		lbs/day	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	"C"	
VOLATILE COMPOUNDS (cont'd	1	•									
Tetrachloroethylene				0.1247664			1200				
Toluene				0.1247664			1290 1270	645	2.5	c	
1,1,1-Trichloroethane				0.1247664			5280	635	46200		
1,1,2-Trichlorgethane			•	0.1247664			1800	2640 900		_	
Trichloroethylene				0.1247664			3900	1950	6.9 21	С	
Vinyl Chloride				0.1247664			3900	1950	35.8	c c	
									33.0		
ACID COMPOUNDS	-										
2-Chlorophenol				0.1247664	-		258	129	126.4		
2,4-Dichlorophenol				0.1247664			202	101	232.6		
BASE NEUTRAL COMPOUNDS											
Benzidine			•	0.623832			250	125	0.00017	С	
Hexachlorobenzene				0.1247664					0.00025	c	
Hexachlorabutadiene				0.1247664			5 . 1	1.02	0.11	С	
•				•			•		•		
PESTICIDES				•							
Aldrin				0.0006238	·		3	•	0.0004	c	
Hexachlorocyclohexane											
(gamma BHC, Lindane)				0.0006238			5.3	0.21	0.2	С	
Chlordane				0.0024953			2.4	0.0043	0.00019	С	
4.4'-DDT				0.0012477	•		1.1	0.001	0.00019	c	
4,4'-DDE				0.0012477		•	52.5	10.5	0.00019	c.	
4,4'-DDD				0.0012477			0.03	0.006	0.00027	С	
Dieldrin	•			0.0012477			0.2374	0.0557	0.00005	, c	
Endosulfan				0.0012477			0.22	0.056	0.64	•	
Endrin			•	0.0012477			0.0864	0.0375	0.26		
Heptachlor .				0.0006238			0.52	0.0038	0.00007	С	
							2	0.014			
Toxaphene				0.0623832			0.73	0.0002	0.00024	C	

Other Parameters:

Fecal Col.(col/100ml) '

Chlorine

Ammonia

Chlorides

Sulfates

TDS

19

Appendix B-1

Page 5

по

Town of Rayville LA0020559 AI 19306

(+1) (\*12) (\*13) (\*14) (\*15) (\*16) (\*17) (\*18) (\*20) (\*21) (\*22) (\*23) Toxic WLAa . WLAC WI.Ah LTAa LTAC LTAb Limiting WOBI. WORK. WORL. WOBL Need Parameters Acute Chronic HHNDW Acute Chronic HHNDW A.C.HH Мах Αvq Max WOBL? 001 001 001 001 ug/L ug/L uq/L ug/L uq/L uq/L uq/L ug/L ug/L lbs/day lbs/day 1485.6138 1623.0688 84.475551 475.3964 860.22645 84.475551 84.475551 84.475551 201.05181 1.053971 2.5084511 Tetrachloroethylene по Toluene 1462.581 1597.9049 116257.02 468.02591 846.88961 116257.02 468.02591 613.11395 1455.5606 7.649602 18.160506 no 1,1,1-Trichloroethane 6080.6516.6643.2582 --- 1945.8085 3520.9269 --- 1945.8085 2549.0092 6051.4645 31.80307 75.501944 пο 2072.9494 2264.7471 233.15252 663.34382 1200.316 233.15252 233.15252 233.15252 554.903 2.9089601 6.923325 1,1,2-Trichloroethane пο Trichloroethylene 4491.3904 4906.9521 709.59463 1437.2449 2600.6846 709.59463 709.59463 709.59463 1688.8352 8.8533568 21.070989 Vinyl Chloride --- 1209.6899 1209.6899 1209.6899 2879.062 15.092865 35.92102 no ACID COMPOUNDS 297,12275 324,61375 318.07115 95.07928 172.04529 318.07115 95.07928 124.55386 295.69656 1.5540136 3.6892995 2-Chlorophenol no 2,4-Dichlorophenol 232.63099 254.15496 585.31131 74.441917 134.70213 585.31131 74.441917 97.518911 231.51436 1.2167084 2.8885214 BASE NEUTRAL COMPOUNDS Benzidine 287.90964 314.54821 0.0057443 92.131086 166.71055 0.0057443 0.0057443 0.0057443 0.0136715 7.167E-05 0.0001706 пo Hexachlorobenzene --- 0.0084476 0.0084476 0.0084476 0.0201052 0.0001054 0.0002508 nο Hexachlorabutadiene 5.8733567 2.5667134 3.7169243 1.8794741 1.3603581 3.7169243 1.3603581 1.7820691 4.2307137 0.0222342 0.0527851 no PESTICIDES Aldrin 3.4549157 --- 0.0135161 1.105573 --- 0.0135161 0.0135161 0.0135161 0.0321683 0.0001686 0.0004014 no Hexachlorocyclohexane (gamma BHC, Lindane) 6.1036844 0.528441 6.7580441 1.953179 0.2800737 6.7580441 0.2800737 0.3668966 0.8710293 0.0045776 0.0108675 no Chlordane 2.7639326 0.0108205 0.0064201 0.8844584 0.0057348 0.0064201 0.0057348 0.0075126 0.0178354 9.373E-05 0.0002225 no 4 4'- DDT 1.2668024 0.0025164 0.0064201 0.4053768 0.0013337 0.0064201 0.0013337 0.0017471 0.0041478 2.18E-05 5.175E-05 пo 4 , 4 ' - DDE 60.461025 26.42205 0.0064201 19.347528 14.003686 0.0064201 0.0064201 0.0064201 0.0152799 8.01E-05 0.0001906 4 , 4 ' - DDD 0.0345492 0.0150983 0.0091234 0.0110557 0.0080021 0.0091234 0.0080021 0.0104828 0.0248866 0.0001308 0.0003105 no 0.273399 0.1401627 0.0016895 0.0874877 0.0742862 0.0016895 0.0016895 0.0016895 0.004021 2.108E-05 5.017E-05 Dieldrin no Endosulfan 0.2533605 0.1409176 1.6104868 0.0810754 0.0746863 1.6104868 0.0746863 0.0978391 0.2322745 0.0012207 0.002898 0.0995016 0.0943645 0.6542603 0.0318405 0.0500132 0.6542603 0.0318405 0.0417111 0.099024 0.0005204 0.0012355 Endrin no 0.5988521 0.0095623 0.0023653 0.1916327 0.005068 0.0023653 0.0023653 0.0023653 0.0056295 2.951E-05 7.024E-05 Heptachlor no Toxaphene 0.8406962 0.0005033 0.0081097 0.2690228 0.0002667 0.0081097 0.0002667 0.0003494 0.0008296 4.36E-06 1.035E-05 no Other Parameters: Fecal Col.(col/100ml) no Chlorine 21,881133 27,680243 7.0019625 14.670529 7.0019625 9.1725709 21.776103 0.1144429 0.2716926 Ammon i a ------- - -... no Chlorides . - - -------- - ---: - - -. . . Sulfates ------<u>. . .</u> **..**. - - -\_\_\_ --по TOS ---. - ---no ---------. . . -----no

Date:

03/31

wasmodn.wk4

Page 1 Developer: Bruce Fielding Time: 11:17 AM Software: Lotus 4.0 1.A0020559 AI 19306 Revision date: 08/07/08 Water Quality Screen for Town of Rayville Input variables: Receiving Water Characteristics: Dilution: ZID Fs -0.1 Receiving Water Name= Boeuf River Critical flow (Qr) cfs= -3.51 M2 F9 • Harm. mean/avg tidal cfs= 75.9 Critical Qr (MGD) = 2.268513 Drinking Water=1 HHNPCR=2 Harm, Mean (MGD) = 49.05417 MW=1, BW=2, 0=n 2ID Dilution = 0.868328 Rec. Water Hardness= 92.9 MZ Dilution = 0.3973954 Rec. Water TSS= HHnc Dilution-0.3973954 Fisch/Specific=1,Stream=0 HHc Dilution= 0.0295944 Diffuser Ratio= ZID Upstream = 0.1516386 MZ Upstream -1.5163857 Partition Coefficients; Dissolved -- > Total Effluent Characteristics: M2hhnc Upstream= 1.5163857 Permittee= Town of Rayville METALS FW Permit Numbers LA0020559 AI 19306 Total Arsenic 1.8415415 Facility flow (Qef),MGD-1.496 MZhhc Upstream-32.790221 Total Cadmium 4.0525184 ZID Hardness----Chromium III 4.8864723 Outfall Number = 001 M2 Hardness= ---Chromium vi Eff. data, 2=1bs/day ZID TSS= Total Copper ---2.7858161 MOL. 2=1bs/day MZ TSS= . - -Total Lead 5.2440064 Effluent Hardness-N/A Multipliers: Total Mercury 3.1675314 Effluent TSS= N/A WLAa --> LTAa 0.32 Total Nickel 2.1981875 WQBL ind. 0=y, 1=n WLAC --> LTAC 0.53 Total Zinc . 3.3325825 Acute/Chr. ratio 0=n, 1=y LTA a,c-->WQBL avg 1.31 Aquatic, acute onlyl=y,0=n LTA a.c-->WOBL max 3.11 Aquatic Life, Dissolved LTA h --> WQBL max 2.38 Metal Criteria, ug/L Page Numbering/Labeling WQBL-limit/report 2.13 ACUTE CHRONIC METALS Appendix 'Appendix 8-1 WLA Fraction 1 Arsenic 339.8 Page Numbers 1=y, 0=n 1 WQBL Fraction Cadmium 29.36458 0.9764098 Input Page # 1=y, 0=n Chromium III 516.61856 167.58572 15.712 10.582 Conversions. Chromium VI Fischer/Site Specific inputs: ug/L-->lbs/day Qef 0.0124766 Copper 17.19089 11.534759 Pipe=1, Canal=2, Specific=3 ug/L-->lbs/day Qeo ٥ Lead 59.599637 2.3225123 Pipe width, feet ug/L-->lbs/day Qr 0.0292734 Mercury 0.012 ZID plume dist., feet lbs/day-->ug/L Qeo 60.149784 Nickel 1329.9106 147.69724 MZ plume dist., feet lbs/day-->ug/L Qef 80.149784 2inc 107.52403 98.185747 HHnc plume dist., feet diss-->tot l=y0=n HHc plume dist.. feet Cu diss->totl=y0=n 1 Site Specific Multiplier Values: cfs-->MGD 0.6463 CV = Fischer/site specific dilutions: ilution = Receiving Stream: WLAa --> LTAa Default Hardness= P/specific M2 Dilution = 25 WLAC --> LTAC P/specific HHnc Dilution= Default TSS= 10 LTA a,c-->WQBL avg F/specific HHc Dilution= 99 Crit., l=y, 0=n 1 LTA a,c-->WQBL max LTA h --> WQBL max

Appendix B-1

Appendix B-1
Town of Rayville
LA0020559 AI 19306

Page

(*1)	(*2)	(*3)	(*4)	(*5)	(•6)	{*7}	(+8)	(+9)	{*10}	(*11)
Toxic	Cu	Effluent	Effluent	MQL	Effluent	95th <b>%</b>	Nume	rical Crit	eria	нн
Parameters	Instream	/Tech	/Tech		1=No 95%	estimate	Acute	Chronic	HHNDW	Carcinogen
	Conc.	(Avg)	(Max)		0-95 %	Non-Tech	FW	FW		Indicator
	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L		ug/L•	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	
NONCONVENTIONAL							-	-	-	
Total Phenols (4AAP)				5			700	350	50	
3-Chlorophenol				10						
4-Chlorophenol			•	10			383	192		
2,3-Dichlorophenol				10						
2.5-Dichlorophenol			٠	10						
2,6-Dichlorophenol				10						
3,4-Dichlorophenol				10		•				
2,4-Dichlorophenocy-										
acetic acid (2,4-D)										
2-(2,4.5-Trichlorophen-										
oxy) propionic acid										
(2,4,5-TP, Silvex)										
METALS AND CYANIDE										
Total Arsenic				10			625 7558	276.23122		
Total Cadmium			•	1			119.0005	3.9569180		
Chromium III				10	,		2524.4423	818.90297		
Chromium VI				10			15.712	10.582		
Total Copper				10			47.890658	32.133716		
Total Lead				5			312.54088	12.179269		
Total Mercury				0.2			5.4924995	0.0380104		
Total Nickel				40			2923.3928	324.66622		
Total Zinc				20			358.33271	327.2121		
Total Cyanide				20			45.9	5.4	12844	
·										
DIOXIN .		-								
2,3,7,8 TCDD; dioxin				1.0E-05					7.2E-07	C
						•				
VOLATILE COMPOUNDS										•
Benzene				10			2249	1125	12.5	, C
Bromoform				10			2930	1465	34.7	С
Bromodichloromethane				10				•	3.3	c
Carbon Tetrachloride Chloroform				10			2730	1365	1.2	C
Dibromochloromethane				10			2890	1445	70	С
				10					5.08	C
1,2-Dichloroethane 1,1-Dichloroethylene				10			11800	5900	6.8	c
1,3-Dichloropropylene				10			1160	580	0.58	С
Ethylbenzene				10			606	303	162.79	
Methyl Chloride	-			10			3200	1600	B100	
Methylene Chloride				. 50			55000	27500	_	
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloro-				20			19300	9650	97	c
ethane								دهد	_	
				10			932	466	1.8	С

Appendix B-1 Town of Rayville

LA0020559 AI 19306

(*1) 	(*12)	(*13)	(*14)	(*15)			(*18)	(*19)	(*20)	. (*21)	(*22)	(*23)
Toxic	WLAa	WLAC					Limiting	WQBL	WQBL	WQBL	WQBL	Need
Parameters	Acuce	Chronic	МПИНН	Acute	Chronic	ннирw	A,C,HH	Avg	Max	Avg	Мах	WQBL7
		63		4-				001	001	001	001	
NONCONVENTIONAL	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	ug/I	, ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	lbs/day	lbs/day	
Total Phenols (4AAP)	006 143	000 71455	136 61630	252 05204	466 70066	125 01000						
3-Chlorophenol	200.217			257.56704	100./075	125.81928	125.81928	125.81928		1.5698019		по
· 4-Chlorophenol	441.07757			141.14482					430.0004	2.3069272		по
2,3-Dichlorophenol	441.07737				256.06741		141.14482	184.89972	438.9604	2.3069272		no
2,5-Dichlorophenol										•••		no
2,6-Dichlorophenol	•							•••		•••		no
3,4-Dichlorophenol												no
2,4-Dichlorophenocy-												no
acetic acid (2,4-D)		•••										
2-(2,4,5-Trichlorophen-			ì	,								по
oxy) propionic acid		•	•									
(2,4,5-TP, Silvex)												50
												no
METALS AND CYANIDE									,	•		
Total Arsenic	720.64451	695.1043		230.60624	368.40528		230.60624	302.09418	717.18542	3.7691203	8.9480643	no
Total Cadmium	137.04557	9.9571338		43.854582	5.2772809					0.086254		no
Chromium III	2907,2451	2060.6757		930.31843	1092.1581					15.205495		no
Chromium VI	18.094545	26.628393		5.7902545	14.113049					0.0946382		no
Total Copper	55.152729	80.860824		17.648873	42.856237	•••	17.648873	23.120024	54.887996	0.2884602	0.6848178	no
Total Lead	359.93413	30.647739		115.17892	16.243302		16.243302	21.278725	50.516669	0.265487	0.6302783	пф
Total Mercury .	6.3253742	0.0956488		2.0241198	0.0506938	•••	0.0506938	0.0664089	0.1576579	0.0008286	0:001967	no
Total Nickel	3366.6919	816.98543		1077.3414	433.00228		433.00228	567.23299	1346.6371	7.0771618	16.801506	no
Total Zinc	412.66976	823.39185		132.05432	436.39768		132.05432	172.99117	410.68895	2.1583485	5.1240182	по
Total Cyanide	52.86021	13.588483	32320.458	16.915267	7.2018959	32320.458	7.2018959	9.4344836	22.397896	0.1177107	0.2794505	no
								•				
DIOXIN												
2,3,7,8 TCDD; dioxin		•••	2.433E-05			2.433E-05	2.433E-05	2.433E-05	5.79E-05	3.035E-07	7.224E-07	по
VOLATILE COMPOUNDS .												
Benzene	2590.0351	2830.9339	422,37776	828.81125	1500,395	422.37776	422.37776	422.37776	1005.2591	5.2698552	12.542255	no
Bromoform	3374.301	3686.505	1172.5207	1079.7763	1953.8477	1172.5207	1079.7763	1414.507	3358,1044	17.648294	41.897859	no
Bromodichloromethane			111.50773			111.50773						no
Carbon Tetrachloride	3143.9733											no
Chloroform	3328,2355	3636.1773	2365.3154	1065.0353	1927.174	2365.3154	1065.0353	1395.1963	3312,2599	17.407362	41.325875	no
Dibromochloromethane			171.65432			171.65432						no
1,2-Dichloroethane	13589,335											no
1,1-Dichloroethylene	1335.9007											no
1,3-Dichloropropylene	697.89297						•					no
Ethylbenzene	3685.2434											no
Methyl Chloride	63340.121 6			20268.839						331.28198		no
Methylene Chloride	22226.624	24283.122	2939.7492	7112.5198	12870.055	2939.7492	2939.7492	2939,7492	6996.6031	36.678192	87.294098	no
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloro-												
ethane	1073.3271	1172.6357	60.822397	143.46469	621.49694	60.822397	60.822397	60.822397	144.75731	0.7588592	1.8060848	no

Page 3

Appendix B-1 Town of Rayville LA0020559 AI 19306

Page

(-1)	(*2)	(+3)	(+4)	(*5)	(*6)	(*7)	(*8)	(*9)	(*10)	(*11)
Toxic	Cu	Effluent	Effluent	MQL Eff	luent	95th 🛊	Nume	rical Crit	eria	нн
Parameters	Instream	/Tech	/Tech	1 = N	0 95%	estimate	Acute	Chronic	HHNDW	Carcinogen
	Conc.	(Avg)	(Max)	0=9	5 %	Non-Tech	fw	FW		Indicator
	ug/L	υg/L	ug/L	ug/L		ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	"C"
VOLATILE COMPOUNDS (cont	d)									
Tetrachloroethylene				10	•		1290	645	2.5	
Toluene				10			1270	635	46200	
1,1,1-Trichloroethane			•	10			5280	2640		
1,1,2-Trichloroethane				10			1800	900	6.9	c '
Trichloroethylene				10			3900	1950	21	` c
Vinyl Chloride				10					35.8	С
ACID COMPOUNDS										
2-Chlorophenol				10			258	129	126.4	
2,4-Dichlorophenol				10			202	101	232.6	
-, · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				10				101	232.6	
BASE NEUTRAL COMPOUNDS										
Benzidine				50			250	125	0.00017	. с
Hexachlorobenzene				10					0.00025	С
Hexachlorabutadiene				10 ~			5.1	1.02	0.11	С
PESTICIDES	•									
Aldrin				0.05			3		0.0004	c
Hexachlorocyclohexane										
(gamma BHC, Lindane)				0.05			5.3	0.21	0.2	<b>c</b> .
Chlordane				0.2			2.4	0.0043	0.00019	c
4,4'-DDT				0 , 1			1.1	0.001	0.00019	C
4,4'-DDE				0.1			52.5	10.5	0.00019	C
4,4'-DDD				0.1			0.03	0.006	0.00027	c
Dieldrin				0.1			0.2374	0.0557	0.00005	c
Endosulfan				0.1			0.22	0.056	0.64	
Endrin				0.1			0.0864	0.0375	0.26	•
Heptachlor				0.05			0.52	0.0038	0.00007	C
'							2	0.014		•
Toxaphene				5	•		0.73	0.0002	0.00024	С

Other Parameters:

Fecal Col.(col/100ml)

Ammonia

Chlorides

Sulfates

TDS

Appendix B-1

Town of Rayville,

LA0020559 AI 19306

(*1)	(*12)	(*13)	(*14)	(*15)	(*16)	(*17)	(*18)	(*19)	(*20)	(*21)	(*22)	(*23)
Toxic	WLAa	WLAC	WLAh	LTAa			Limiting	WQBL		WORL		Need
Parameters	Acute	Chronic	HHNDW	Acute	Chronic	HHNDW	A,C,HH	Avg		Avg		WQBL?
								001	001	<del>-</del>	001	•
	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	lbs/day	lbs/day	
		•										
•				•	•							
Tetrachloroethylene	1485.6138	1623.0688	84.475551	475.3964	860.22645	84.475551	84.475551	84.475551	201.05181	1.053971	2.5084511	no
Toluene	1462.581	1597.9049	116257.02	468.02591	846.88961	116257.02	468.02591	613.11395	1455.5606	7.649602	18.160506	no
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	6080.6516				3520.9269				6051.4645			no
1,1,2-Trichloroethane		2264.7471										no
Trichloroethylene	4491.3904	4906.9521	709.59463	1437.2449	2600.6846	709.59463	709.59463	709.59463	1688.8352	8.8533568	21.070989	no
Vinyl Chloride	***	,	1209.6899			1209,6899	1209.6899	1209.6899	2879.062	15.092865	35.92102	no
1010 000000000							•					
ACID COMPOUNDS												
2-Chlorophenol		324.61375										no
2,4-Dichlorophenol	232.63099	254.15496	585.31131	74.441917	134.70213	585.31131	74.441917	97.518911	231.51436	1.2167084	2.8885214	no
BASE NEUTRAL COMPOUNDS							•					
Benzidine .	287 90964	314.54821	N 0057443	92 131086	166 71055	0.0057443	0 0067443	0.0057443	A A136336	7 167P AC		
Hexachlorobenzene			0.0094476						0.0201052			ло
Hexachlorabutadiene	5.8733567	2.5667134		1.8794741								no
					1.5005501		1.5005501	1.,0100,1	4.2307137	0.0222342	0.0327631	no
PESTICIDES												
Aldrin	3.4549157		0.0135161	1.105573		0.0135161	0.0135161	0.0135161	0.0321683	0.0001686	0.0004014	no
Hexachlorocyclohexane												
(gamma BHC, Lindane)	6.1036944	0.528441	6.7580441	1.953179	0.2800737	6.7580441	0.2800737	0.3668966	0.8710293	0.0045776	0.0108675	no
'Chlordane	2.7639326	0.0108205	0.0064201	0.8844584	0.0057348	0.0064201	0.0057348	0.0075126	0.0178354	9.373E-05	0.0002225	πo
4 , 4 ' - DDT	1.2668024	0.0025164	0.0064201	0.4053768	0.0013337	0.0064201	0.0013337	0.0017471	0.0041478	2,18E-05	5.175E-05	по
4,4'-DDE		26.42205										no
4,4'-DDD		0.0150983										по
Dieldrin		0.1401627										no
Endosulfan		0.1409176										no
Endrin		0.0943645										no
Heptachlor	0.5988521	0.0095623	0.0023653	0.1916327	0.005068	0.0023653	0.0023653	0.0023653	0.0056295	2.951E-05	7.024E-05	no
Toxaphene	0.000000	0.0000033										
Toxaphene	U.89UB362	0.0005033	0.0081097	0.2690228	0.0002667	0.0081097	0.0002567	0.0003494	0.0008296	4.36E-06	1.035E-05	по
Other Parameters: -		-										
Fecal Col.(col/100ml)								•••				<b>50</b>
Chlorina	21, 0011132	27.6802431		7,0019625	14.670529		7.0019625	<u> </u>	21/7/6103	0.1144429	0:2716926	no Clyes
Ammonia					• • •							no
Chlorides					•			•••		•		no
Sulfates												no
TDS			•••									no

---

no

#### **MEMORANDUM**

TO: Rachel Davis

FROM: Todd Franklin

DATE: January 23, 2009

RE: Stream Flow and Water Quality Characteristics for the Boeuf River, receiving

water for the Town of Rayville WWTF (Permit No. LA0020559, AI: 19306)

Determinations of water quality characteristics for Outfall 001 were taken from ambient monitoring station #327 (Boeuf River at the US 80 bridge west of Rayville, Louisiana) and random station #1484 (Boeuf River at the bridge on Highway 80, 0.5 mile east of Girard and 2.3 miles west of Rayville, Louisiana). The following hardness and TSS data was obtained:

Average hardness = 92.9 mg/l 15<sup>th</sup> percentile TSS = 8 mg/l

The effluent will be discharged into an unnamed ditch; thence into Boeuf River. In a series of memorandums from Max Forbes to Paula Roberts, dated January 24, 2003, and March 7, 2003, the 7Q10 of the Boeuf River at this discharge point was determined to be 3.51 cfs. The harmonic mean flow at this particular site was determined to be 75.9 cfs. There has been no significant change to the hydrology of the receiving stream; therefore, these values should be used in permit limits calculations.

If you have additional questions or comments, please contact me at 2-3102.

# Geo Mean Calculations Town of Rayville/ Rayville Wastewater Treatment Facility LA0020559 Al 19306

Mercury	. TRC
0.001 ·	2.5
0.002	2.8
0.0014	2.8
1.67	2.9
0.003	3.4
0.002	2.7
0.0003	
0.0001	
1.926	

GeoMean =

0.004897542

GeoMean=

2.837370996

#### kBIOMONITORING FREQUENCY RECOMMENDATION AND RATIONALE FOR ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS

Permit Number:

LA0020559

Facility Name:

Town of Rayville

Previous Critical Biomonitoring Dilution: Proposed Critical Biomonitoring Dilution:

40% (WET Limit) 40% (WET Limit)

Date of Review:

02/03/09

Name of Reviewer:

Laura Thompson

Recommended Frequency by Species:

Pimephales promelas (Fathead minnow): Once/Quarter1

Ceriodaphnia dubia (water flca):

Once/Quarter1

Recommended Dilution Series:

17%, 22%, 30%, 40%, and 53%

Number of Tests Performed during previous 5 years by Species:

Pimephales promelas (Fathead minnow): 15

Daphnia pulex (water flea):

N/A - Testing of species was not required

Ceriodaphnia dubia (water flea):

18

Number of Failed Tests during previous 5 years by Species:

Pimephales promelas (Fathead minnow): 4 sub-lethal

Daphnia pulex (water flea):

N/A - Testing of species was not required

Ceriodaphnia dubia (water flea):

1 lethal, 1 sub-lethal

Failed Test Dates during previous 5 years by Species:

Pimephales promelas (Fathead minnow): Testing periods of: 1/1/04-3/31/04 (sub-lethal);

4/1/04-6/30/04 (sub-lethal); 4/1/06-6/30/06 (sub-

lethal); 4/1/07-6/30/07 (sub-lethal)

Daphnia pulex (water flea):

N/A - Testing of species was not required

Ceriodaphnia dubia (water flea):

Testing period of: 4/1/08-6/30/08 (lethal & sub-

lethal)

Previous TRE Activities:

N/A - No previous TRE Activities

Since a WET limit shall be incorporated into this permit, this facility shall have an established biomonitoring testing frequency of once per quarter for the term of the permit

Additional Requirements (including WET Limits) Rationale / Comments Concerning Permitting:

The Town of Rayville owns and operates an existing publicly owned treatment works serving the Town of Rayville in Rayville, Richland Parish, Louisiana. LPDES Permit LA0020559, effective January 1, 2004, contained freshwater chronic biomonitoring (with a WET limit) as an effluent characteristic of Outfall 001 for Ceriodaphnia dubia and Pimephales promelas. The effluent series consisted of 17%, 22%, 30%, 40%, and 53% concentrations, with 40% effluent concentration being defined as the critical biomonitoring dilution/WET limit. The testing was to be performed quarterly for the Ceriodaphnia dubia and Pimephales promelas. Toxicity testing data on file shows 1 lethal and 1 sub-lethal failure to the Ceriodaphnia dubia, and 4 sub-lethal failures to the Pimephales promelas during the past five years.

This facility has experienced several biomonitoring failures during the previous permit cycle. In addition, several routine and follow-up biomonitoring testing results/DMRs are not included in LDEQ's file record. A reasonable potential analysis also shows that reasonable potential for future toxicity exists for the Town of Rayville. For these reasons, it is recommended that freshwater chronic biomonitoring (with a WET limit) continue to be an effluent characteristic of Outfall 001 (discharge of 1.496 mgd of treated sanitary wastewater) in LA0020559. The effluent biomonitoring dilution series shall be 17%, 22%, 30%, 40%, and 53% concentrations, with 40% being defined as the critical biomonitoring dilution/WET limit. The recommended biomonitoring frequency shall be once per quarter for Ceriodaphnia dubia and Pimephales promelas for the term of the permit.

This recommendation is in accordance with the LDEQ/OES Permitting Guidance Document for Implementing Louisiana Surface Water Quality Standards, Water Quality Management Plan Volume 3. Version 6 (April 16, 2008), and the Best Professional Judgment (BPJ) of the reviewer.

## PRETREATMENT EVALUATION AND RECOMMENDATION

FACILITY NAME: Town of Rayville WWTP

CITY:

Rayville

PARISH:

Richland

PERMIT #:

LA0020559

**DESIGN FLOW:** 

1.496 MGD

ESTIMATED OR EXPECTED TREATED WASTEWATER FLOW: 0.4234 MGD

OTHER POTWS IN SYSTEM: N/A

INDUSTRIES LISTED IN MANUFACTURERS GUIDE AND/OR LPDES PERMIT APPLICATION:

Industry Name	Type of Industry	Direction Indirect
Belote Productions	Manufactures electric household cooking utensils	N/A <sup>1</sup>
Kadant Black Clawson Inc.	Manufactures paper industry machinery	Indirect <sup>2</sup>
Louisiana State Newspapers Inc.	Publishes and prints newspapers	Indirect <sup>3</sup>
Rayville U-Pak-It Inc.	Retail gasoline filling station; manufactures gasoline blending plants; retail independent convenience store; gas and oil field exploration services	Indirect <sup>4</sup>
Regina Shoemaker <sup>5</sup>	Manufactures signs and advertising specialties; commercial screen printing service	Indirect <sup>3</sup>
Richardson Medical Center Inc.	Acute care hospital	Indirect <sup>6</sup>

This facility is outside the Town of Rayville city limits and is therefore not connected to the WWTP.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> This facility is a machine and metal fabrication shop. Any oily wastewater produced in the process area is hauled offsite. The discharge is sanitary wastewater only.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The discharge is sanitary wastewater only.

This facility is a convenience store/truck stop with a fast food sandwich shop inside. The discharge is sanitary wastewater only.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Also called Shirt & Sign Worx.

The discharge is process and sanitary wastewater; however, pretreatment standards have not been developed for this industry.

IndustryiName	Type of Industry	Direction Indirect
Texas Industries Inc.	Manufactures ready-mixed concrete	Direct <sup>7</sup>
Trimble Navigation Limited Inc. 8	Laser plane GPS ground leveling service	Indirect 9

## STANDARD LANGUAGE RECOMMENDATION AND JUSTIFICATION:

Due to the absence of pretreatment categorical standards for the indirect discharges listed above or because the discharge is of sanitary wastewater only, it is recommended that LDEQ Option 1 Pretreatment Language be included in LPDES Permit LA0020559. This language is established for municipalities that do not have either an approved or required Pretreatment program. This recommendation is in accordance with 40 CFR Part 403 regulations, the General Pretreatment Regulations for Existing and New Sources of Pollution contained in LAC Title 33, Part IX, Chapter 61 and the Best Professional Judgement (BPJ) of the reviewer.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> The process wastewater from this facility is regulated by LDEQ General Permit LAG110071 (regulates discharges from Cement, Concrete & Asphalt Facilities).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Also known as Spectra Physics.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> The discharge is sanitary wastewater only.